

## **MEETING NOTES**

Project Name: Meeting Subject: Location: Notes by:		LSJ/DS RFMP Small Group Meeting for Benefit Area 4 (RD 2) KSN Stockton Conference Room Charles Hilliard			Date: Project No.: Page:	7/2/1	4
Attendees:	Steve Sin	nnock	Jim Giottonini/phone Ashlee Casey		nma Biscocho rles Hilliard		John Maguire Loren Bottorff

## Purpose:

The purpose the meeting is to arrive at a list of the most important projects for use in the draft Financial Plan and draft RFMP. The second round of small group meetings build on the first round meetings by focusing on potential projects, timelines, and funding assumptions. Attendees were provided a "snapshot" of material currently in the draft RFMP for RD 2 and asked to provide comments by for use in refinements to the Draft RFMP.

**RD 2 Discussion:** Introductions were made by attendees, followed by a discussion of each RDs project costs, budgets, and project prioritization. This discussion was led my Steve Sinnock of KSN Inc., district engineer. Explained that potential projects are being placed into three tiers to show when each project is likely to be implemented. Tier 1 would be for projects with likely implementation in the next 5 years. Tier 2 would be projects with implementation in the 6 to 12 year period. Tier 3 projects would be implemented beyond 12 years. RFMP is a 25 year plan.

- PL84-99 is a goal of the 5- year plan for RD 2 (and the others), but it might not ever apply for the actual program. The RD just uses the geometry for a standard.
- RD 2 is responsible for the portion of the dryland levee it shares with RD 1 north of Howard Road. RD 1 is responsible for the portion south of Howard Road.
- This dryland levee has been allowed to degrade, as RD 1 and RD 2 essentially function as a single district. If one RD floods, the other will as well.
- The first priority of these RDs is to get "wet" levees (those actually holding back water) to PL84-99 standard. All of these levees are currently HMP compliant.
- PL84-99 upgrades are likely to happen within the next 5 years.
- It was noted that the seepage areas shown on the RFMP projects exhibit were too extensive to implement in the near-term. There are isolated areas but they are fairly short. This will be changed. The levees along RD 2 are very sandy. To fix seepage issues, the preferred method is a core trench.
- Erosion along Grant Line Canal is a first tier priority.
- The all-weather road along the district levee is in fairly good condition. This would be a tier 3 priority.
- Dryland levee repair is a tier 3 priority.
- It was noted that Middle River is silted and is causing flows to divert elsewhere. Flows from an expanded paradise cut may exacerbate this problem.
- It was noted that the current budget within the RFMP is too low.

## Summary of project prioritizations:

- Tier 1
  - o Erosion Protection
  - Seepage Repairs
- Tier 2
  - Penetrations and encroachments.
  - o Identifying setback levee areas
- Tier 3
  - All weather road



## MEETING NOTES

- o Dryland levee improvements
- To be Removed from projects list
  - o Address HMP deficiencies.

Asked about what RDs thought about potential consolidation of RDs. Don't see a reason. Set up originally as separate benefit areas and it works.

---end---